Honorable Sallie Kim on October 18, 2022. At the conclusion of that full-day conference, the

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER SETTING A RULE 16 CONFERENCE

℩ ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 22ND FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3711 BANCROFT LAW OFFICES Ф SIDEMAN

28

2835-290\5210174v1

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN **ORDER SETTING A RULE 16**

Case No. 3:20-cv-04926 CRB

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

parties were unable to reach mutually agreeable terms.

- This case has now been pending for more than 28 months. As the conferences required by Rule 16 and Rule 26(f) have not yet occurred, and it has not been possible to commence discovery by agreement between the parties, formal inquiry into relevant matters has not been possible outside the limited jurisdictional discovery that was conducted at the outset of litigation. This case has been in a long period of stasis, which appears unlikely to change without formal discovery. Cisco therefore requests that the Court set an Initial Case Management Conference within 30 days.
- 3. It is unclear whether Dexon would stipulate to the requested order. On October 21, 2022, the undersigned counsel sent Dexon an email notifying it that Cisco would be filing this motion. See Declaration of Louis P. Feuchtbaum In Support of Administrative Motion, ¶ 1. Cisco twice asked that Dexon indicate whether it intended to oppose it. Id., ¶¶ 1-2. Dexon did not respond. Id., ¶ 2.

DATED: October 24, 2022 SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP

> By: /s/ Louis P. Feuchtbaum

> > Louis P. Feuchtbaum Attorneys for Plaintiffs CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.